

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

William Howard Rutland III,

Plaintiff,

v.

Dorchester County Detention Center;
L.C. Knight, Sheriff Dorchester Co.;
Terry Van Doran, Captain D.C.S.D.;
Southern Health Partners;
Dr. Wimberly, Sr., Physician, DCDC,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 8:09-274-SB

ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By local rule, the matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for preliminary determinations.


On May 19, 2009, Magistrate Judge Bruce Howe Hendricks issued a report and recommendation ("R&R") analyzing the Plaintiff's complaint and recommending that the Court dismiss the Defendant Dorchester County Detention Center with prejudice because it is not a "person" subject to liability under § 1983. Attached to the R&R was a notice advising the Plaintiff of his right to file specific, written objections to the R&R within ten days of the date of service of the R&R. To date, no objections have been filed.

Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, a Magistrate Judge's factual or legal conclusions. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); Wells v. Shriners Hosp., 109 F.3d 198, 201 (4th Cir. 1997). Here, because the Plaintiff did not file any specific, written objections, the Court need not conduct a de novo review of any portion of the R&R.

Accordingly, the Court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's R&R as the Order of this Court, and it is

ORDERED that the Defendant Dorchester County Detention Center is dismissed with prejudice.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.


The Honorable Sol Blatt, Jr.
Senior United States District Judge

June 16, 2009
Charleston, South Carolina

